



**TAX news**



**Grants & Incentives news**

## dReport: May 2018

Check out our regular TAX news, Grants & Incentives news newsletter.

# Contents

## Tax news

### Direct Taxes

R&D Tax Deduction

Current Trends in the Area of Deduction

### Indirect Taxes

In Brief

### International TAX

OECD's Multilateral Convention Coming into Force on 1 July 2018

New treaty with Turkmenistan

News round-up BEPS

In Brief

### Other

Courts Have Once Again Sided with Entrepreneurs in Respect of Payment Security Orders

News in Immigration

Tax liabilities – May 2018

Tax liabilities – June 2018

## Grants & Incentives news

Suspension of the OP PIK Subsidy Programme

IT Firms at Threat of Not Receiving Subsidies

Announcement of a National Call as part of the LIFE Programme

3

3

3

3

5

5

6

6

6

7

7

9

9

9

11

12

13

13

13



## R&D Tax Deduction

### Regional Court: Clinical Trials of Medicaments Classified as Research

We would like to provide additional information on legal disputes concerning R&D deductible items, this time in the area of clinical studies. In late February, the Regional Court in Hradec Králové issued new judgments cancelling the ruling of the Appellate Financial Directorate (the "AFD"). Below is a summary of the key findings.

The Company won two legal disputes with the AFD pursuant to judgments 31 Af 53/2016 - 52 and 31 Af 52/2016 - 60. The Company sought the cancellation of the ruling whereby the AFD rejected the Company's appeal against additional corporate income tax payment assessments for the taxation periods of 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 whereby the assessments were confirmed. The subject matter of the dispute included the AFD's ruling stating that the Company did not carry out research and development in line with Section 34 (4) of the Income Taxes Act.

The Company is a registered medical facility conducting clinical studies predominantly of phase III, ie systematic testing of medicaments on patients to demonstrate and verify the healing powers of the medicament and identify side effects. This includes the testing of already-developed medicaments following the completion of clinical testing phases I and II, according to the assignments of the Company's clients.

The AFD concluded that the Company had been engaged in an activity classified as the provision of services to a third party without own research as it only recorded the results of individual patients included in the project in relation to the administered medicaments. Moreover, the AFD believes that the administered medicaments did not represent an outcome of the Company's research and development activities and, as a result, this service did not include the element of own research. The AFD thus concluded

that the Company's activities in the respective projects did not include an appreciable element of novelty and the Company was not exposed to the risk and uncertainty arising from research and development.

Nevertheless, the Regional Court did not agree with this conclusion and confirmed the Company's opinion that the activity in an R&D project consisted of seeking new findings regarding the effectiveness of medication and was performed by qualified professionals, which brought new findings on the healing powers of the respective medicament. What is more, the Regional Court also agreed with the Company's opinion that as such, the Company's performance of medical research entails the risk of failure of such research. This risk lies in the fact that it may come out during the clinical study that the testing practitioner incorrectly assessed the effects of administered medicaments and, as a consequence, failed to identify the danger for human health.

Therefore, the Company is not engaged in a mere routine activity solely including the record-taking of the results. The Regional Court believes that the Company's activities met the definition of research and development.

The Regional Court observed an unlawful assessment of the matter by the AFD in terms of substantive law and returned the case for further proceedings. The AFD subsequently filed a cassation complaint against the judgment at the Supreme Administrative Court. **This judgment is one of the few judgments at the level of regional courts which agreed with the taxable entity, observing that the definition of R&D activities and costs reported in a tax return was met.**

*Tomáš Holkup*  
[tholkup@deloittece.com](mailto:tholkup@deloittece.com)

*Antonín Weber*  
[aweber@deloittece.com](mailto:aweber@deloittece.com)

## Current Trends in the Area of Deduction


As we have informed you in previous articles, R&D tax deductions are increasingly more often examined by the tax administration. The growing number of audits, which often result in legal disputes, leads to uncertainty among taxpayers. It is therefore questionable whether the setup of the deduction aimed at supporting research and development is the only accurate solution and whether it is time to consider an adjustment thereto, also considering the fact that the area has not been modified since 2005.

A decrease in the number of entities using the R&D tax

deduction between 2015 and 2016 as well as a decrease in the aggregate costs reported by businesses as part of the R&D tax deduction in the same period proves the growing uncertainty among companies engaged in research and development in the Czech Republic arising from the tax administration's approach to the audit of tax deductions. This is alarming especially due to the fact that the decrease was recorded for the first time since 2005 when the R&D tax deduction was incorporated into legislation and also with regard to the boom in the Czech economy at present.



The figure below clearly depicts the statistics of applying the R&D tax deduction using the data of the Czech Statistical Office.



	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
<b>Amount of deduction in CZK mil.</b>	5 017	4 857	5 246	6 931	9 665	10 435	12 090	11 954	13 351	12 337
<b>Number of entities</b>	570	596	632	716	859	1 021	1 120	1 271	1 322	1 268

Source: Czech Statistical Office

We consider it problematic that formal project elements are currently preferred to the fact whether the company conducts research and development. Rather contradictory statutory requirements placed upon the research and development project documentation pose another issue. This includes, on one hand, the definition and approval of research and development activities prior to their commencement when the taxpayer does not (and cannot) have detailed solution descriptions as well as a sufficiently accurate and detailed definition of activities, including their timing, budget, staffing etc, on the other hand.

The current setting and practice bring about a great deal of uncertainty and disputes regarding the project commencement, factual definition of project activities and the onset or clarification of research and technical uncertainty.

Inspiration for potential changes in the setup of R&D deduction may be found abroad. In many countries having the R&D deduction in place, R&D projects are only processed retrospectively, subsequent to the termination of the respective taxation period and the relevant development task. This change could resolve persistent disputes between businesses and the tax administration concerning the definition of the term "project solution commencement". Furthermore, it could enable tax payers to specify in greater detail how exactly project activities were realised in the respective period which could ultimately be beneficial for the tax administration in assessing eligible activities.

The latest information indicates that the financial administration is considering certain changes in the setup of R&D deductions. Let us hope that these changes will support research and development in the Czech Republic, contribute to the more-transparent assessment of R&D activities and direct attention to the actual substance of the issue rather than to the fact whether or not companies are really engaged in research and development. Greater transparency in R&D deduction is important for both companies operating in the Czech Republic and businesses considering the establishment of new or expanding existing R&D centres in the CE region and contemplating in which CE country the centre should be located. The transparency of individual support regimes in the relevant countries is one of the key factors in this decision-making process which is crucial for whether or not the Czech Republic will be selected.

In view of the Czech Republic's efforts to support primarily investments with high added value, it should be essential for all of us that the R&D deduction setup become more transparent for businesses.

We will keep you updated on further developments in future dReport issues.

Tomáš Holkup  
[tholkup@deloittece.com](mailto:tholkup@deloittece.com)

Antonín Weber  
[aweber@deloittece.com](mailto:aweber@deloittece.com)



## In Brief

### Judicature of the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU)

Ruling C-8/17 Biosafe related to the application of a VAT deduction claim which was reported additionally based on a corrective tax document. Originally, the payment provided by a supplier was billed at a reduced tax rate; however, the supplier subsequently corrected the VAT rate replacing it with the standard one but it did so only after the period for applying the VAT deduction that started on the date of issuing the original invoice had expired. The CJEU stated that a period to deduct the additionally reported tax cannot start before the relevant corrective tax document is available to the relevant taxpayer. This opinion is in conflict with the VAT Act and has a high potential to change the practice in applying the Act.

### Judicature of the Supreme Administrative Court (SAC) relating to the distraint of payment security orders

In its ruling 6 Afs 399/2017-26, the SAC has once again clarified the role that payment security orders may have in tax administration. It shows that a receivable arising from a distress warrant may be distrained for a time longer than the Financial Administration of the Czech Republic considered to be possible. This ruling is more developed in the article [Courts Have Once Again Sided with Entrepreneurs in Respect of Payment Security Orders](#).

Tomáš Brandejs  
[tbrandejs@deloittece.com](mailto:tbrandejs@deloittece.com)



# OECD's Multilateral Convention Coming into Force on 1 July 2018

**According to the latest information provided by the OECD, the *Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting* (the "Convention") will come into force on 1 July 2018.**

As we have informed you in previous dReport issues, the Convention aims to implement unified rules preventing double taxation without the need for lengthy and complicated bilateral negotiations. Let us add that approximately 1,200 double taxation treaties are currently concluded across the world.

Ángel Gurría, the Secretary-General of the OECD, believes that the Convention will ensure that multinational companies will settle tax liabilities arising from their cross-border activities as appropriate.

The fact that the Convention will come into force after a mere year from the signing date is an indication of a strong political engagement in combatting base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS).

The Convention coming into force is based on ratification instruments deposited by five jurisdictions. Slovenia was the last jurisdiction to do so, on 22 March 2018. Ratification instruments had already been provided by Austria (22 September 2017), Isle of Man (19 October 2017), Jersey (15 December 2017) and Poland (23 January 2018).

The provisions of the Convention concerning withholding tax will take effect in the above-listed jurisdictions for all double taxation treaties concluded by these countries from the beginning of 2019. For other areas, the Convention will become effective within 12 months from coming into force, ie as of 1 July 2019 in the countries listed above. The Convention generally stipulates that provisions regulating the taxation of passive income will always take effect within six months from

the Convention going into force while a 12-month period will apply to other provisions.

In other countries, the Convention will come into force within three months after these countries have deposited their ratification instruments. The information on how the ratification instruments have been signed and whether individual OECD countries have already signed them as of 22 March 2018 is available [here](#).

Furthermore, the Convention will also introduce unified rules for handling hybrid mismatch arrangements, rules for defining permanent establishments and allocating profit to the permanent establishment as well as unified rules preventing the misuse of double taxation treaties. The Convention also includes a measure for addressing contractual disputes based on mandatory arbitration which was adopted by 28 signatories.

The Convention has not yet been ratified by the Czech Republic. Note that in the signing of the Convention, the Czech Republic reserved a right to introduce only minimum standards. In practice, a new preamble will apply in addition to Article 6 stipulating that the double taxation treaties aim to prevent double taxation as well as tax evasion as regards income and capital taxes. What is more, a possibility of restricting the advantages arising from individual treaties in case of their misuse is to be explicitly stated in Article 7. Improvements of problem resolution mechanisms for disputes concerning double taxation are newly specified in Article 16.

*Kateřina Krchnivá*  
[kkrchniva@deloittece.com](mailto:kkrchniva@deloittece.com)

*Tereza Tomanová*  
[ttomanova@deloittece.com](mailto:ttomanova@deloittece.com)

## New treaty with Turkmenistan

Implementation of the new Double Taxation Treaty with Turkmenistan will start on 1 January 2019

On 27 March 2018, the Double Taxation Treaty between the Czech Republic and Turkmenistan came into force. The wording of the Treaty is expected to be published in the Collection of International Treaties shortly. The provisions of the Treaty should be applied as follows:

- In respect of income and property taxes, the Treaty will be applied to income paid or credited as of 1 January 2019 or later.
- In respect of withholding tax, the Treaty will be applied to income paid or credited as of 1 January 2019 or later.

*Renata Olivová*  
[rolivova@deloittece.com](mailto:rolivova@deloittece.com)

*Tereza Tomanová*  
[ttomanova@deloittece.com](mailto:ttomanova@deloittece.com)



## News round-up BEPS

### Action 2 – Neutralising the effects of hybrid mismatch arrangements

**Australia** – On 7 March 2018, the Australian government issued revised exposure draft (ED) legislation that incorporates the ED legislation released in 2017, as well as new rules to address branch mismatch arrangements and to introduce an integrity rule, which goes beyond the anti-hybrid rule recommendations of the OECD framework.

### Action 5 – Countering Harmful tax practices more effectively, taking into account transparency and substance

**Luxembourg** - On 22 March 2018, the Luxembourg parliament passed a law to replace the intellectual property (IP) box regime that was abolished in 2016. The law introduces a new article 50ter into the Income Tax Law (ITL) that provides for an 80% exemption on income derived from the commercialisation of certain IP rights, as well as a 100% exemption from net wealth tax (NWT). The new rules are expected to be applicable as from fiscal year 2018, once the necessary legislative steps have been completed, although it still is unclear when the Council of State will initiate the next steps to finalise the law so it can become effective.

### Action 7 – Preventing the artificial avoidance of permanent establishment status

On 22 March 2018, the OECD released [Additional Guidance on the Attribution of Profits to Permanent Establishments](#), a report that provides guidance mandated under the final BEPS action 7 report published in 2015. The newly released report contains additional guidance on the attribution of profits to permanent establishments (PEs) resulting from the recommended changes in the 2015 report to the definition

of a PE in article 5 of the OECD model tax treaty.

The additional guidance follows on from two earlier discussion drafts issued in 2016 and sets out high-level general principles for the attribution of profits to PEs arising under article 5(5) of the model treaty, and includes examples of a commissionaire structure for the sale of goods and an online advertising sales structure and a procurement structure, and an example on the attribution of profits to PEs arising under the anti-fragmentation rule. The report also includes additional guidance related to PEs created as a result of the changes to article 5(4), and provides an example on the attribution of profits to PEs arising from the anti-fragmentation rule included in article 5(4.1).

### Action 14 – Making dispute resolution mechanisms more effective

**OECD** – on 12 March 2018, the OECD announced the release of the third round of peer reviews on the implementation of the BEPS minimum standard on improving tax dispute resolution. A document addressing the implementation of best practices is also available on each jurisdiction that chose to opt to have such best practices assessed. These eight reports contain over 215 specific recommendations relating to the minimum standard. In stage 2 of the peer review process, each jurisdiction's effort to address the recommendations identified in its stage 1 peer review report will be assessed.

*Kateřina Krchnivá*

[kkrchniva@deloittece.com](mailto:kkrchniva@deloittece.com)

*Tereza Tomanová*

[tomanova@deloittece.com](mailto:tomanova@deloittece.com)

## In Brief

### ECOFIN agrees on tax intermediaries directive

On 13 March 2018, EU finance ministers reached political agreement on the tax intermediaries directive proposed by the European Commission on 21 June 2017 that would require mandatory reporting by tax intermediaries and the automatic exchange of information by the tax authorities of member states for certain cross-border arrangements in relation to individuals, companies and other entities. The directive, which takes the form of an amendment to the Directive for Administrative Cooperation (DAC), is part of the efforts to tackle tax abuse and ensure fairer taxation in the EU, and broadly reflects the elements of action 12 of the BEPS project on the mandatory disclosure of potentially aggressive tax planning arrangements. The directive will be formally adopted during the next EU council meeting on 25 May 2018, and once adopted generally will apply as from

1 July 2020, with limited retroactive effect.

### EU list of non-cooperative tax jurisdictions

On 8 March 2018, the EU's list of non-cooperative jurisdictions in taxation matters has been adjusted in the light of commitments made by listed jurisdictions and assessment of jurisdictions for which no listing decision had yet been taken. The Council removed Bahrain, the Marshall Islands and Saint Lucia from the list and added the Bahamas, Saint Kitts and Nevis and the US Virgin Islands. The adjusted list is available [here](#). The EU has also published letters to potentially non-cooperative jurisdictions setting out the commitments required in the context of the preparation of the original list. The full version of letters is available [here](#).



### French ruling that Irish digital services provider has no PE in France

On 1 March 2018, the Paris Administrative Court of Appeal ruled that Irish-resident company Conversant International Ltd, which provides digital marketing services in France with the assistance of a French sister company, does not have a permanent establishment (PE) in France and is therefore not taxable in that country. The decision is based on the following arguments: Valueclick International Ltd did not have a PE in France within the meaning of article 2(9) of the France - Ireland Income Tax Treaty (1968) since the French sister company was neither a fixed place of business to the extent that all the services it provided were covered by the intercompany services agreement, nor a dependent agent of Valueclick International Ltd in so far as the French company was not authorised to conclude contracts in the name of Valueclick International Ltd.

### New France-Luxembourg treaty signed

On 20 March 2018, the governments of Luxembourg and France signed a new tax treaty and protocol to modernise the existing agreement between the two countries, which now is 60 years old. The new treaty completely revamps the 1958 treaty and includes provisions that reflect the latest international standards found in the 2017 version

of the OECD model treaty and recommendations under the BEPS project, as well as the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (MLI).

### Danish draft bill would end retroactive merger provision

Companies in Denmark that engage in cross-border mergers will no longer be entitled to retroactive effective dates for the mergers under a proposal by Danish Minister of Taxation. The amendment of the Merger Tax Act introduces a rule based on which any merger involving a Danish company cannot be considered effective in Denmark before the date of the merger agreement, according to a release from the tax authority. If adopted by the parliament, the new law will have an effective date of 23 March 2018.

*Kateřina Krchniv*

[kkrchniva@deloittece.com](mailto:kkrchniva@deloittece.com)

*Tereza Tomanov*

[ttomanova@deloittece.com](mailto:ttomanova@deloittece.com)





# Courts Have Once Again Sided with Entrepreneurs in Respect of Payment Security Orders

The Supreme Administrative Court has again confirmed that it makes sense to defend yourself against the practices of the financial administration. In its latest ruling on the AB Chemitrans case, the court ruled that tax or related accrued interest and fees can only be recovered by distraint after the appellate proceedings have been concluded, which also applies to situations where the tax was subject to a payment security order. The ruling may significantly affect the existing practices relating to payment security orders and the performance of tax distraints.

In general, it applies that if the tax authority makes an additional tax assessment based on a tax audit, the additionally assessed tax is payable within 15 days of the delivery of the ruling on the appeal. Therefore, the tax authority is not allowed to collect the tax until after the appellate proceedings have ended when the payment assessment comes into force. However, if the tax is secured by a payment security order in advance, the tax authority has so far believed that the additional payment assessment may constitute grounds for a tax distraint as early as on the date it is delivered, i.e. regardless of the appeal filed. At that moment, the payment security order ceases to be effective, with the tax authority proceeding to perform the distraint and recovering the tax payable based on a payment assessment. However, in its latest ruling on the case of the Moravian company AB Chemitrans, the Supreme Administrative Court ruled that additionally assessment tax may only be recovered by

distraint until after the appellate proceedings have ended with legal effect, which also applies to situations where a payment security order has been issued in the case in hand.

The legal opinion may have several practical implications. First, it may be inferred that payment security orders do not cease to be effective until after the appellate proceedings have ended with legal effect. Therefore, if, in the meantime, the appellate body or court revokes the payment security order for unlawfulness, the tax authority will be forced to refund the secured amount. The ruling may also affect the unlawfulness of the tax distraints already underway. In this regard, the issue of interest on unlawful acts, which the tax authority should pay to companies in situations like these, will also come into play.

Would you like to learn more about the ruling and its practical implications? Are you interested in the views of a Supreme Administrative Court judge on the latest tax issues? Would you like to know what tax issues are currently being addressed and how to prepare for them? Please accept our invitation to the seminar "It makes sense to defend yourself" to be held on 21 May 2018 with the participation of Mr Karel Šimka, a Supreme Administrative Court judge. To view the details about the event, follow this [link](#).

Jiřina Procházková  
[jprochazkova@deloittece.com](mailto:jprochazkova@deloittece.com)

## News in Immigration

### Changes in the Ukraine Regime Project

The Ukraine regime was officially launched in August 2016. The Ukraine regime simplifies the hiring of employees from this country. It is intended for direct employers operating in the Czech Republic in the field of production, services or the public sector. Temporary help from Ukraine can help bridge the time when the Czech Republic's unemployment rate is critically low and companies currently lack 240,000 workers.

- Starting from 1 May 2018, the quotas will increase from 9,600 applications per year, i.e. 800 applications per month, to 19,600 applications per year, i.e. 1,600 applications per month (family members are not included in the quota).
- When filing a collective application for 50 or more people, it has been required since 1 February 2018 to demonstrate that the intention has been discussed with current employees and that approval of the mayor of the municipality where the foreigners will

be accommodated after arriving in the Czech Republic has been obtained.

- As part of the increase in the number of accepted applications in the Ukraine regime, there is a concurrent increase in the capacities by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs at the Czech Consulate in Lviv.
- **Starting from 1 May 2018, applications for employee cards will be filed via the Lviv visa centre.** The visa centre will be created following the increase in the Ukraine regime quota. At present, a selection process for the external provider of the visa centre services is being conducted. Applications filed via the visa centre will entail a new fee for application processing. The fee is not expected to exceed EUR 20.

Inka Joslová  
[ijoslova@deloittece.com](mailto:ijoslova@deloittece.com)  
Anastasia Verkhorubova  
[averkhorubova@deloittece.com](mailto:averkhorubova@deloittece.com)



### The Mongolia Regime and the Philippines Regime

Other special programmes are intended to provide companies with temporary help to overcome the period of lack of employees. The Mongolia and Philippines regimes have been introduced with effect from 1 May 2018. They focus on countries whose labour force has continuously been a centre of interest of Czech employers.

The regime as such should serve for targeted and selective acceptance and processing of applications for employee cards by citizens of Mongolia and the Philippines who will perform work activities in the Czech Republic in the area of production, services or the public sector (on job positions CZ ISCO 4-8).

- The targeted and selective nature means that similarly to the Ukraine regime, the other states regime can include only a specific employer that meets the regime's criteria, together with a specific future employee or employees.
- Decisions on the applications for inclusion in the regime will be made by the Ministry of Industry and Trade (MIT) based on a recommendation from the Czech business representation or the CzechInvest Investment and Business Development Agency. The annual capacity for this project is 1,000 applications from each of the above countries (i.e. approximately 85 applicants per month per each country).
- Employee card applications will be accepted by embassies in Ulaanbaatar and Manila. After the monthly capacity of the respective embassies is filled, application

### Changes of conditions for filing an employee card application in Lviv

More than three quarters of Ukrainian employee card applicants are accepted via the government project. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Czech Republic decided to end the so-called live queue and to introduce instead electronic registration via a dedicated e-mail address. All citizens can make a request for assigning a date in this way on their own and free of charge. One of the main reasons

### Transposition of the EU directive

The reports on the transposition of Directive 2004/114/EC and Directive 2005/71/EC showed a variety of flaws and the need to perform several changes. For the sake of clarity, the EU decided to rework both directives and replace them with a new one.

For this reason, the European Parliament and the Council approved on 11 May 2016 Directive 2016/801/EU on the conditions of entry and residence of third-country nationals for the purposes of research, studies, training, voluntary service, pupil exchange schemes or educational projects and au pairing (hereinafter "Directive 2016/801/EU"). EU member states have until 23 May 2018 to enforce legal and administrative regulations necessary to achieve compliance with this Directive. The primary changes will concern

acceptance will be suspended. Unlike the Ukraine regime, applications for the other country regime will not be put in an endless line. The applicants will be able to register several weeks in advance.

- The regime is intended only for direct employers that have been active in the Czech Republic for at least two years in the area of production, services and the public sector, that employ at least 10 people, have no payables to the state and have been persistently unable to fill an available job position from Czech labour market resources. The job position where the applicant included in the regime by the employer would be employed must correspond to the employer's business activity.
- The regime also allows filing a "collective application" for 30 or more applicants, with the employer having to complement the application with a sworn statement that it will cooperate with the Centres for the Support of the Integration of Foreigners in the region, a sworn statement about having discussed the intention with employees in line with Section 280 (1) of Act No. 262/2006 Coll., Labour Code, and a statement of the mayor of the municipality where the foreigners will be accommodated after arriving in the Czech Republic.

*Inka Joslová*

[ijoslova@deloittece.com](mailto:ijoslova@deloittece.com)

*Anastasia Verkhorubova*

[averkhorubova@deloittece.com](mailto:averkhorubova@deloittece.com)

for this new setup was to eliminate intermediaries who abused the existing system. The registration does not take place via the Consulate General but via the Ministry of Foreign Affairs headquarters, so that the Consulate General cannot be accused of changing the order.

*Inka Joslová*

[ijoslova@deloittece.com](mailto:ijoslova@deloittece.com)

*Anastasia Verkhorubova*

[averkhorubova@deloittece.com](mailto:averkhorubova@deloittece.com)

particularly the provisions on long-term residence permits for study purposes and the definition of the term "studies". The main changes are summarised below.

- Introduction of long-term residence for the purposes of job seeking or initiation of business activity. This residence purpose will be intended for university students after the end of their studies in the country and for research workers who have completed their research activities. In these cases they will be allowed to remain in the territory of the Czech Republic for up to 9 months in order to look for employment or initiate business activity. However, this permit will not automatically mean the granting of the right to access the job market or to initiate business activity.



- Obligation to attend adaptation and integration courses. The efforts for the integration of foreigners residing in the territory of the Czech Republic in the long-term will no longer be on a voluntary basis only – the element of limited and corresponding obligation will be introduced: the conditions for residence in the country will include (in certain cases) the obligation to attend an introductory adaptation and integration course for new arrivals within one year of receiving their Czech residence permit.

The amendment introduces this obligation due to the identified necessity to inform foreigners especially about their rights and obligations as soon after their arrival in the Czech Republic as possible.

*Inka Joslová*  
[ijoslova@deloittece.com](mailto:ijoslova@deloittece.com)

*Anastasia Verkhorubova*  
[averkhorubova@deloittece.com](mailto:averkhorubova@deloittece.com)

## Tax liabilities – May 2018

### May

Thursday, 10	Consumption tax	Tax maturity for March 2018 (except the consumption tax on alcohol)
Wednesday, 16	Intrastat	The Intrastat statement for April 2018, paper version
Friday, 18	Intrastat	The Intrastat statement for April 2018, electronic version
Monday, 21	Income tax	Monthly payment of deducted advance payments on personal income tax from employment
Friday, 25	Value added tax	Tax return and tax for April 2018
		EC Sales List for April 2018
		Tax control statement for April 2018
	Energy taxes	Tax return and tax maturity on gas, solid fuels and electricity for April 2018
	Consumption tax	Tax maturity for March 2018 (only the consumption tax on alcohol)
Thursday, 31		Tax return for April 2018
		Tax return for claiming of refund of consumption tax, for example on fuel oil, other petrol (benzine) for April 2018 (if applicable)
	Real estate tax	Full tax maturity (tax payers with tax liability to CZK 5,000; including)
		Tax maturity of 1. tax payment (tax payers with tax greater then CZK 5,000 (excluding taxpayers engaged in agricultural production and fish farming)
	Income tax	Payment of special-rate withholding tax for April 2018



## Tax liabilities – June 2018

### June

Monday, 11	Consumption tax	Tax maturity for April 2018 (except the consumption tax on alcohol)
Thursday, 14	Intrastat	The Intrastat statement for May 2018, paper version
Friday, 15	Income tax	Quarter or half-year tax advance payment
Monday, 18	Intrastat	The Intrastat statement for May 2018, electronic version
Wednesday, 20	Income tax	Monthly payment of deducted advance payments on personal income tax from employment
Tuesday, 26	Value added tax	Tax return and tax for May 2018 EC Sales List for May 2018 Tax control statement for for May 2018
	Energy taxes	Tax return and tax maturity on gas, solid fuels and electricity for May 2018
	Consumption tax	Tax maturity for April 2018 (only the consumption tax on alcohol) Tax return for May 2018 Tax return for claiming of refund of consumption tax, for example on fuel oil, other petrol (benzine) for May 2018 (if applicable)

### Contacts

If you have any questions concerning the items in this publication, please contact your regular Deloitte Tax contact or one of the following experts:

#### Direct Taxes

Jaroslav Škvrna

[jskvrna@deloittece.com](mailto:jskvrna@deloittece.com)

Zbyněk Brtinský

[zbrtinsky@deloittece.com](mailto:zbrtinsky@deloittece.com)

Miroslav Svoboda

[msvoboda@deloittece.com](mailto:msvoboda@deloittece.com)

Marek Romancov

[mromancov@deloittece.com](mailto:mromancov@deloittece.com)

LaDana Edwards

[ledwards@deloittece.com](mailto:ledwards@deloittece.com)

Tomas Seidl

[tseidl@deloittece.com](mailto:tseidl@deloittece.com)

#### Indirect Taxes

Adham Hafoudh

[ahafoudh@deloittece.com](mailto:ahafoudh@deloittece.com)

Radka Mašková

[rmaskova@deloittece.com](mailto:rmaskova@deloittece.com)

#### Local Sales / Purchases Report

Jaroslav Beneš [jbenes@deloittece.com](mailto:jbenes@deloittece.com)

#### Deloitte Advisory, s. r. o.

Nile House, Karolinská 654/2,

186 00 Prague 8 - Karlín,

Czech Republic

Tel.: +420 246 042 500

[Subscribe to dReport and other newsletters.](#)



## Suspension of the OP PIK Subsidy Programme

An in-depth audit by the Ministry of Finance of the Czech Republic has highlighted errors in the Enterprise and innovation for competitiveness Operational Programme (hereinafter “OP PIK”), whose managing authority is the Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic (hereinafter the “MoIT”).

The situation has been reported by the MoIT to the national managing authority (the Ministry of Regional Development) and the European Commission, with which it is addressing the situation. Following the notice, the European Commission conducted an audit of the Operational Programme, identifying an exceptionally high – 14% – error rate in drawing subsidies, with the tolerated limit at a mere 5%. Therefore, the European Commission has decided to suspend the OP PIK certification, discontinuing the payment of funds for several months.

The suspension of OP PIK will not affect the payment of funds to approved projects in any way, with the funding covered by the MoIT using government resources for the time being. Subsidy recipients will continue to have the funds paid in the standard way to the applicant’s account.

The suspension of the Operational Programme entails suspending the certification of funds from the EU budget to the state budget. As for open calls, the receipt of application is still underway, with new calls set to continue in line with the effective schedule. The MoIT has introduced internal measures aimed at reducing the error rate and is working with the European Commission to remove the errors. As a next step, the setup and conditions of individual subsidy programmes will be revised.

## IT Firms at Threat of Not Receiving Subsidies

During the compliance audit of public support rules, it was noted that payroll costs of employees that had already worked at the firm could be reimbursed as part of the information and communications technologies projects under the ICT and shared services programme. However, according to the EU directives, support may only be provided to newly created jobs. Two already concluded calls are thus in breach of public support rules.

The MoIT has informed subsidy recipients in a dispatch that, under the current circumstances, their payment requests will be suspended. The subsidy recipients affected must state, **by 31 May 2018**, whether they will additionally create the jobs claimed or not. If existing employees had been transferred to newly created jobs as part of the project, it is necessary to replace their original positions. The MoIT has stipulated a period of three years within which the jobs must be created or replaced, which also applies to concluded projects. If the jobs claimed are created or replaced, the subsidy recipients will have **amendments issued for the legal act**, following the signature of which the reimbursement of payment requests will be renewed. If the subsidy recipient decides not to create or replace the new

jobs, the payroll costs of employees not contributing to the net increase in the headcount of the given firm will not be considered eligible.

Given the matters outlined above, **appendices to calls will be amended** accordingly. New methodology is also being awaited, which should explain the above matters in greater detail. Given that it is a rather substantial change to the programme setup, the MoIT has also decided to set up a special e-mail and telephone line for subsidy recipients. The prevailing uncertainty, awaiting of a new methodology and changing rules may contribute to the growing lack of interest on the part of companies in drawing subsidies. This is on top of the fact that the Czech Republic is certainly not one of the countries having a keen interest in subsidy support.

A total of **CZK 117 billion has been allocated for the Operational Programme for 2014-2020**, yet **only CZK 6.7 billion had been paid out as of 16 April 2018**. Some firms want to defend themselves, refusing to bear the consequences of errors made by civil servants. Therefore, it is not ruled out that the state will be challenged in legal disputes.



# Announcement of a National Call as part of the LIFE Programme

The Ministry of the Environment has announced a national call as part of the LIFE Programme for submitting applications for support in two sub-programmes – ‘Environment’ and ‘Climate Action’. Support may be applied for in the two sub-programmes not only for project co-funding, but also for preparing project documentation. Both public and private entities may apply for a subsidy, and the projects must be implemented in the territory of the Czech Republic, including the capital city of Prague.

## Aims of the Call under the ‘Environment’ Sub-Programme:

- Environmental protection;
- Efficient and effective use of resources; and
- Environmental administration and information.

Support will be granted to projects focusing on the protection of nature and landscape, such as:

- Provision of safe and efficient use of water resources;
- Mitigation and compensation of land confiscation and better use of soil;
- Reduction in the amount of waste produced, maximisation of recycling; and
- Forest monitoring and information systems, and forest fire prevention systems.

## Aims of the Call under the ‘Climate’ Sub-Programme:

- Climate change Mitigation;
- Climate change adaptation; and
- Climate-related administration and information.

Support will be granted to projects focusing on adapting to or mitigating climate change, such as:

- Transition to a low-carbon economy resistant to climate change;
- Sustainable farming and water and soil management; and
- Climate-related administration, including greater involvement of civil society, NGOs and local entities.

**The subsidy per project** is provided up to the amount of CZK 200,000 or CZK 240,000 for preparing project documentation, depending on the sub-programme, but no more than 15% of total eligible project costs. The maximum amount of eligible costs per project is CZK 10 million (net of VAT).

**Receipt of applications for the ‘Environment’ Sub-Programme:**  
29 March – 3 May 2018.

**Receipt of applications for the ‘Climate’ Sub-Programme:**  
29 March – 22 June 2018.

---

## Contacts

If these issues relate to your company, we would be happy to provide you with more detailed information. Feel free to contact us at any time

### Grants CZ

Luděk Hanáček

[lhancek@deloittece.com](mailto:lhancek@deloittece.com)

Antonín Weber

[antoweber@deloittece.com](mailto:antoweber@deloittece.com)

### Grants and Incentives SK

Martin Rybar

[mrybar@deloittece.com](mailto:mrybar@deloittece.com)

### Incentives

Daniela Hušáková

[dhusakova@deloittece.com](mailto:dhusakova@deloittece.com)

Deloitte Advisory, s. r. o.

Nile House, Karolinská 654/2,

186 00 Prague 8 - Karlín,

Czech Republic

Tel.: +420 246 042 500

[Subscribe to dReport and other newsletters.](#)

# Deloitte.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see [www.deloitte.com/cz/about](http://www.deloitte.com/cz/about) to learn more about our global network of member firms.

Deloitte provides audit, consulting, legal, financial advisory, risk advisory, tax and related services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. Deloitte serves four out of five Fortune Global 500® companies through a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries and territories bringing world-class capabilities, insights, and high-quality service to address clients' most complex business challenges. To learn more about how Deloitte's approximately 245,000 professionals make an impact that matters, please connect with us on Facebook, LinkedIn, or Twitter.

Deloitte Central Europe is a regional organization of entities organized under the umbrella of Deloitte Central Europe Holdings Limited, the member firm in Central Europe of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited. Services are provided by the subsidiaries and affiliates of Deloitte Central Europe Holdings Limited, which are separate and independent legal entities. The subsidiaries and affiliates of Deloitte Central Europe Holdings Limited are among the region's leading professional services firms, providing services through more than 6,000 people in 44 offices in 18 countries.